

Asia Pacific Anti-Corruption Collective Action Award 2023

Methodology

Regional Collective Action Award

1 Introduction

On the 25th of September 2023, the Basel Institute will host the Asia Pacific Collective Action Forum. The forum will include an award ceremony to acknowledge the 'outstanding achievements' of a Collective Action initiative working to tackle corruption and raise standards of business integrity in the region. By showcasing the achievements and ingenuity and the people behind this initiative, the award hopes to inspire the development of new initiatives in the region. The award is non-monetary in nature and will only be awarded to organisations, not individuals.

1.1 Asia Pacific Anti-Corruption Collective Action Award 2023

The award will be granted to an organisation or initiative which has made significant contributions towards fairer market conditions and the prevention of corruption through their engagement in Collective Action. Nominees will be judged against the following criteria:

- (1) The initiative's **engagement with the business community** and other stakeholders;
- (2) The **results and impact** on the targeted sector, region and/or country region, where such evidence is available;
- (3) The initiative as a **source of inspiration or its potential to inspire others** engaged in Collective Action.

Eligibility criteria:

- Collective Action initiatives must have been active for a minimum of 6 months at the time of application to be eligible to apply for the Collective Action Award.
- Only organisations active in the field of anti-corruption Collective Action in Asia Pacific are eligible for nomination to the regional Anti-Corruption Collective Action Award.

- Formal registration of the Collective Action initiative as a legal entity is not a requirement.
- Individuals, government organisations and for-profit organisations are not eligible.

1.2 Nomination submission

Nomination forms may be submitted directly [per email](#) to the Collective Action team until the **20th of July, 2023**. Organisations and initiatives may self-nominate.

Other persons familiar with the Collective Action initiative may also submit a nomination. If you are not self-nominating, please provide contact details of whom to contact about the award nomination. Please ensure you have permission to share their contact details.

2 Selection procedure

2.1 Selection of finalists by the jury

Eligible nominations will be transmitted to the jury together with scoring sheets for each entry. Jury members will score each initiative individually, awarding up to 10 points per criteria (see above). These points will be weighted according to the factors set out below. Nominees may score up to a maximum of 100 points.

	Maximum points	Weight of points
(1) Stakeholder engagement	10 points	*2
(2) Results/impact	10 points	*5
(3) Potential to inspire others	10 points	*3

From the total points allocated by each individual jury member, the three highest-scoring initiatives will be considered as finalists.

In case the overall score is not decisive (i.e., initiatives receiving the same overall score), jury members will meet to agree on the ranking of the top three initiatives.

2.2 Final vote by the jury and the public

From the selected finalists, each jury member will choose a winner.

Through an online public voting tool, the public will be able to vote for their preferred initiative among the three finalists. Public voting is available once per page visitor. Voting will be anonymous. Within the voting process, a cookie will be saved in your browser, ensuring that

voting cannot be repeated. No personal data will be saved or retained and all data related to the voting process will be deleted after the award winners have been determined.

The public vote will be considered as one vote equal to the individual vote of each jury member as follows:

Jury Member 1	Jury Member 2	Jury Member 3	Jury Member 4	Public Vote
20%	20%	20%	20%	20%
			TOTAL	100%

The finalist that receives the most votes wins. In case of a tie, the initiative with the higher overall score from the jury during the finalists' selection phase will be considered the overall winner.

3 Conflicts of Interest

The nominees shall inform the Basel Institute of any existing or potential conflicts of interest in relation to the jury members. The Basel Institute will then seek to manage the conflict of interest so as to not adversely affect the integrity of the award.